Modulation of Tropical Cyclones over the Eastern Pacific by the Intraseasonal Variability Simulated in an AGCM
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ABSTRACT

This study illustrates that observed modulations of tropical cyclone (TC) genesis over the eastern Pacific (EPAC) by large-scale intraseasonal variability (ISV) are well represented in a recently developed high-resolution atmospheric model (HiRAM) at the NOAA/Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory (GFDL) with a horizontal resolution of about 50 km. Considering the intrinsic predictability of the ISV of 2–4 weeks, this analysis thus has significant implications for dynamically based TC predictions on intraseasonal time scales. Analysis indicates that the genesis potential index (GPI) anomalies associated with the ISV can generally well depict ISV modulations of EPAC TC genesis in both observations and HiRAM simulations. Further investigation is conducted to explore the key factors associated with ISV modulation of TC activity based on an analysis of budget terms of the observed GPI during the ISV life cycle. It is found that, while relative roles of GPI factors are dependent on ISV phase and location, lower-level cyclonic vorticity, enhanced midlevel relative humidity, and reduced vertical wind shear can all contribute to the observed active TC genesis over the EPAC during particular ISV phases. In general, the observed anomalous ISV patterns of these large-scale GPI factors are well represented in HiRAM. Model deficiencies are also noted particularly in the anomalous midlevel relative humidity patterns and amplitude of vertical wind shear associated with the EPAC ISV.

1. Introduction

Due to the great threat of tropical cyclones (TCs) to life and property worldwide, improving prediction of TC activity has profound socioeconomic impacts. While short- and medium-range forecasts (up to one week) and seasonal outlooks of TC activity have been routinely conducted, predictions of TCs on intraseasonal time scales (one week to several weeks) are still at an experimental stage in most operational prediction centers. This is largely due to our limited understanding of predictability sources for TC prediction on this intermediate time scale. For short-to medium-range TC forecasts, the skill is essentially from the initial condition, and forecasts are usually realized by integrating high-resolution, in some cases limited-area, dynamical models with sophisticated data assimilation systems. Moreover, the predictability of seasonal TC forecasts is largely dependent on slowly varying
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large-scale elements, such as sea surface temperature (e.g., Gray 1984; Shapiro 1987; Goldenberg and Shapiro 1996). For TC prediction on the intraseasonal time scale, predictability is generally considered rooted in the tropical intraseasonal variability (ISV) (e.g., Madden–Julian oscillation; Madden and Julian 1994), although SST and other tropical waves could also provide partial predictability (e.g., Leroy and Wheeler 2008; Frank and Roundy 2006). The significant impacts of the ISV on TC genesis and movement over various ocean basins have been widely reported (e.g., western Pacific: Nakazawa 1988; Liebmann et al. 1994; Wang and Zhou 2008; Indian Ocean: Bessafi and Wheeler 2006; Ho et al. 2006; Kikuchi and Wang 2010; eastern Pacific: Molinari et al. 1997; Maloney and Hartmann 2000a; Aiyyer and Molinari 2008; Gulf of Mexico: Maloney and Hartmann 2000b; Mo 2000; Higgins and Shi 2001; Atlantic Ocean: Maloney and Shaman 2008; Klotzbach 2010; Camargo et al. 2007b; Australian region: Ho et al. 2006). It is found that the frequency of TC genesis during convectively active ISV phases is up to four times that during suppressed ISV phases (e.g., Maloney and Hartmann 2000b; Barrett and Leslie 2009). Due to this intimate linkage between the ISV and TC activity, and considering the intrinsic predictability of the ISV of 2–4 weeks (Waliser 2006), the reliable prediction of the ISV state several weeks ahead will provide an important foundation for TC prediction on intraseasonal time scales.

While significant progress over the past 2–3 decades has been made in understanding the fundamental physics of the ISV (Zhang 2005; Lau and Waliser 2012), achieving credible ISV simulations with general circulation models (GCMs) has been challenging (e.g., Slingo et al. 1996; Waliser et al. 2003; Lin et al. 2006; Kim et al. 2009; Jiang et al. 2012). Until recently, useful predictive skill of the ISV had generally been limited to only 1–2 weeks, with statistical models often outperforming dynamical models (e.g., Waliser 2006; Jiang et al. 2008). This becomes one of the main reasons that current intraseasonal TC predictions, although still limited, are mainly based on statistical approaches (e.g., Frank and Roundy 2006; Leroy and Wheeler 2008). However, in recent years, the improvements in model physics, spatial resolution, and data assimilation systems have led to significant increases in the predictive skill for ISV in a few models (Waliser 2012). For example, the ECMWF Integrated Forecast System (IFS) (Bechtold et al. 2008; Vitart and Molteni 2010), the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) Coupled Forecast System v2 (Zhang and van den Dool 2012) and the Predictive Ocean–Atmosphere Model for Australia (Rashid et al. 2011), exhibit useful ISV predictive skill out to 2–4 weeks. This improved skill, along with the resolutions to reasonably resolve TCs, motivated Vitart (2009) to analyze the ISV–TC connections in a set of 46-day hindcasts based on a recent version of the ECMWF IFS with a horizontal resolution of 80 km. Vitart (2009) demonstrated that the ECMWF forecast model is generally capable of depicting the observed modulations of the ISV on TC activity including genesis and risk of landfall. This study, for the first time, illustrates that it is possible for a conventional cumulus-parameterized global model to represent both ISV and TCs, as well as their intimate relationships, thus suggesting a plausible new dynamical approach for intraseasonal TC forecasts. Inspired by this encouraging work, there has been increasing enthusiasm in exploring the predictive skill and predictability of dynamical TC forecasts based on ECMWF IFS hindcasts/forecasts (e.g., Belanger et al. 2010; Vitart et al. 2010; Elsberry et al. 2010).

In this study, we present results from an analysis of the ISV–TC relationships over the eastern Pacific (EPAC) as simulated in a high-resolution GCM (HiRAM) recently developed at the NOAA Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory (GFDL) (Zhao et al. 2009). In the EPAC, the ITCZ and warm SST are generally confined north of the equator, particularly in boreal summer, with the warm water region often referred to as the EPAC warm pool. Most TCs over the EPAC are spawned over this warm pool region. The EPAC ITCZ also exhibits vigorous intraseasonal variations in winds and convection (Knutson and Weickmann 1987; Kayano and Kousky 1999; Maloney and Esbensen 2003, 2007; de Szoeke and Bretherton 2005; Jiang and Waliser 2008, 2009; Jiang et al. 2012), which exert a broad impact on regional weather and short-term climate (e.g., Magana et al. 1999; Higgins and Shi 2001; Lorenz and Hartmann 2006; Small et al. 2007; Wu et al. 2009; Serra et al. 2010; Martin and Schumacher 2011), including TC activity over the EPAC and the Gulf of Mexico as mentioned earlier. Two dominant ISV periodicities, for example, a 40-day variability mode and a quasi-biweekly mode, were reported over the EPAC (Jiang and Waliser 2009). A recent multimodel evaluation study by Jiang et al. (2012) illustrates that HiRAM is one of the best in depicting both dominant EPAC ISV modes among nine GCMs analyzed. Moreover, at a horizontal resolution of about 50 km, HiRAM also shows great potential in representing global TC activity, including its climate distribution, interannual and multidecadal variability, as well as responses to global warming (Zhao et al. 2009; Zhao and Held 2010; Held and Zhao 2011).

As HiRAM is capable of capturing both the ISV and TC activity over the EPAC, it is of great interest to explore how observed ISV–TC relationships are depicted in this model. This study, on one hand, will have significant
implications on the intraseasonal dynamical TC forecasts as discussed earlier. Moreover, it is noted that ECMWF IFS hindcasts analyzed by Vitart (2009) were initialized by observations; thus, skill could be gained from the specified initial conditions. In the present study, integrations from a long-term climatological run based on HiRAM are analyzed.

2. Model, datasets, and approaches

Simulations from 1998 to 2008 based on the GFDL HiRAM analyzed in this study are similar to those utilized in Zhao et al. (2009) with a horizontal resolution of 50 km and prescribed observed monthly mean sea surface temperature and sea ice. Model storms are detected and tracked every six hours following the algorithm described in Zhao et al. (2009). At each time, a potential tropical storm is identified by a local 850-hPa relative vorticity maximum exceeding $1.6 \times 10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$, a minimum in sea surface pressure, a maximum in near-surface wind speed greater than 17 m s$^{-1}$, and a warm core structure with maximum temperature between 300 and 500 hPa. Daily rainfall output from HiRAM is employed to identify EPAC ISV events. Additionally, daily 3D winds, relative humidity, and temperature fields from HiRAM are also analyzed to explore key factors associated with the ISV in modulating TC genesis in model simulations.

Six-hourly tropical storm “best tracks” over the EPAC and Atlantic Ocean from 1998 to 2008 are obtained from the hurricane database (HURDAT) maintained by the NOAA/National Hurricane Center (Jarvinen et al. 1984). Rainfall observations are based on Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) version 3B42 (Huffman et al. 1995). The raw 3-hourly TRMM rainfall with a 0.25° spatial resolution was interpolated onto $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ daily data. Daily atmospheric fields including 3D winds, relative humidity, temperature, and SST during the same period from the recent ECMWF ERA-Interim reanalysis (Dee et al. 2011) with a horizontal resolution of $1.5^\circ \times 1.5^\circ$ are also analyzed. In this study, our analysis will focus on TCs exceeding tropical storm strength in both observations and HiRAM simulations.

Following Jiang et al. (2012), extended empirical orthogonal function (EOF) analyses (Weare and Nasstrom 1982) of daily 10–90-day bandpass filtered rainfall anomalies over the EPAC ($0^\circ$–$30^\circ$N, $140^\circ$–$90^\circ$W) from 1998–2008 are performed to define the leading ISV modes in both observations and simulations. Temporal lags of 21 days are adopted for EOF analyses. Similar to EOF results as presented in Jiang et al., the first two leading EOF modes of both observed and simulated daily rainfall anomalies capture the dominant 40-day ISV modes associated with the EPACTCZ. Then these two leading principal components (PCs), which are in quadrature with each other, are used to determine daily ISV amplitudes and phases (ranging from 1 to 8, then back to phase 1) following a similar method employed in Wheeler and Hendon (2004) for the MJO. Composite analysis for observed and simulated rainfall can then be accomplished by averaging the bandpass filtered rainfall anomalies over each ISV phase based on selected strong ISV events ($\sqrt{PC_1^2 + PC_2^2} \geq 1.0$) during the EPAC hurricane season (June–October) from 1998–2008.

It is noteworthy that rainfall associated with TCs may contribute to ISV variances, as illustrated by a study over the western Pacific (Hsu et al. 2008). Sensitivity tests are thus conducted by conducting EOF analyses of the same 10–90-day bandpass filtered TRMM rainfall dataset to affirm that the dominant ISV modes over the EPAC derived by the EOF approach are independent from TC activity. The first two leading EOF modes of observed rainfall anomalies during May–October of 1998–2008 (e.g., total 2024 days) capture similar ISV patterns as depicted by the two leading EOF modes; the two quadratic patterns of EOF$_1$ and EOF$_2$ modes represent anomalous rainfall patterns at phases 8 and 2 derived by the two leading EOF modes, respectively (figure not shown). An additional EOF analysis of TRMM rainfall is then conducted by excluding any day during the 11 summers when a TC was reported anywhere over the EPAC. Results show that the two leading EOF modes of rainfall anomalies during these non-TC days (total 1106 days) are largely identical to those based on rainfall during the full period of the 11 summers. Therefore, the 40-day ISV mode over the EPAC as extracted by EOF or EOF analysis represents an intrinsic regional low-frequency convective variability mode independent from TC activities. Since the EOF approach exerts stronger constraints to the derived dominant ISV modes than the EOF analysis by providing temporal evolution of the spatial patterns associated with the leading ISV modes, we employ the PCs corresponding to the two leading EOFs to define the daily phases of the 40-day ISV mode over the EPAC.

3. Modulations of the ISV on TC activity in GCM simulations

Figures 1a–d illustrate observed rainfall anomalies (shaded) along with TC genesis locations from 1998–2008 during a life cycle of the ISV. As previously documented (Jiang and Waliser 2008; Maloney et al. 2008), the EPAC ISV exhibits both eastward and northward propagation. In agreement with previous studies (e.g., Maloney and Hartmann 2000a), strong modulations of TC genesis over the EPAC by the ISV is clearly observed. Tropical
FIG. 1. Tropical cyclone genesis over the EPAC from 1998–2008 during different ISV phases in the (a)–(d) observations and (e)–(h) HiRAM simulations. Shading represents observed or simulated anomalous rainfall pattern with the bottom color bar for scale.
cyclone genesis largely occurs over regions with enhanced ISV convection. The northward migration of the TC genesis zone from phases 6 + 7 (Fig. 1d) to phases 1 + 8 (Fig. 1a), and then to phases 2 + 3 (Fig. 1b), coupled with the northward movement of enhanced ISV convection is clearly evident. The largely suppressed ISV convection over the EPAC during phases 4 + 5 is also consistent with significantly reduced TC genesis at this time. It is also noteworthy that a clear poleward shift of the TC genesis belt relative to maximum ISV convection is discerned for ISV phases 6 + 7 (Fig. 1d).

An identical analysis to that performed on the observations was carried out on the HiRAM output. The bulk features of rainfall anomalies associated with the observed ISV over the EPAC are captured well in the HiRAM simulations (shaded, Figs. 1e–h), which have been comprehensively examined in Jiang et al. (2012). Of particular interest is that strong modulation of TC genesis by the model ISV, as exhibited in the observations, is depicted well in HiRAM, including the northward migration of TC genesis belt from phases 6 + 7 to phases 2 + 3 and the considerably smaller TC genesis during phases 4 + 5. In addition, the poleward shift of TC genesis relative to the convection maximum is also noted in model simulations during phases 6 + 7 (Fig. 1h), although most model TC genesis favors longitudes east of 120°W, while genesis is still evident west of 120°W in the observations (Fig. 1d). This could be associated with the eastward displacement of the maximum convection center in HiRAM simulations (Fig. 1h) relative to its observed counterpart (Fig. 1d).

Figure 2 further illustrates strong impacts of the ISV on TC genesis by displaying TC genesis counts over the EPAC during each ISV phase from 1 to 8, as well as during a weak ISV period as denoted by ISV phase “0” with gray bars in both the observation and HiRAM simulation plots. Again, it is clearly illustrated (Fig. 2a) that many more TCs form during ISV phases 1, 7, and 8 with only a few cases occurring during phases 4–6. A relatively small number of TCs originate during weak ISV phases. HiRAM captures the observed variation of TC counts as a function of ISV phase very well (Fig. 2b).

To demonstrate how ISV modulates TC movements, in addition to TC genesis locations (i.e., Fig. 1), six-hourly TC positions during each ISV phase are plotted in Fig. 3 for both observations and simulations. Again, significant impacts of the ISV on TC movements over the EPAC as seen in the observations are well captured in HiRAM. In both observations and model simulations, TCs largely cluster over regions with enhanced ISV convection, while TC activity is greatly reduced over regions with suppressed ISV convection. Consistent with the previous discussion, TC activity exhibits a slight northward shift relative to active ISV convection near 10°N during phases 6 + 7 in both observations (Fig. 3d) and simulations (Fig. 3h).

4. Factors controlling the intraseasonal TC activity over the EPAC

In this section, we explore key factors associated with the ISV in modulating TC genesis over the EPAC by utilizing an empirical genesis potential index (GPI) (Emanuel and Nolan 2004), defined as follows:

\[
\text{GPI} = \left[10^5 \xi \right]^{3/2} \left(\frac{\gamma}{50}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\text{PI}}{70}\right)^3 \left(1 + 0.1V_{\text{shear}}\right)^{-2},
\]

where \(\xi\) is the 850-hPa absolute vorticity (s\(^{-1}\)), \(\gamma\) is the relative humidity (%) at 600 hPa, PI is the potential intensity in terms of wind speed (m s\(^{-1}\)), which gives an upper bound on TC intensity (Bister and Emanuel 2002); and \(V_{\text{shear}}\) is the magnitude of the vertical wind shear between 850 and 200 hPa (m s\(^{-1}\)). Terms \(\eta, r, \psi,\) and \(s\) denote the GPI components associated with 850-hPa
absolute vorticity, 600-hPa relative humidity, potential intensity, and vertical wind shear, respectively.

It has been illustrated that the GPI is able to replicate the observed climatological annual cycle as well as interannual variations of TC genesis in several different basins (Camargo et al. 2007a). On intraseasonal time scales, the GPI also exhibits capability in representing global TC genesis (Camargo et al. 2009, hereafter CWS09).

Fig. 3. Modulation of TC movements by the EPAC ISV: (a) observations and (b) HiRAM simulations. Each mark “+” denotes the six-hourly TC location in each ISV phase in observations or GCM.
Based on an analysis of budget terms of anomalous GPI, CWS09 further examined the roles of the four environmental variables in Eq. (1) associated with the MJO in modulating TC genesis over the global oceans. It is suggested that midlevel humidity plays a leading role in the MJO modulation of TC genesis frequency, with a secondary role for the vorticity and a very weak influence of vertical shear and potential intensity. This differs from results from previous studies (Maloney and Hartmann 2000a,b; Hall et al. 2001; Bessafi and Wheeler 2006) that pointed to the dynamical factors, including low-level vorticity and vertical wind shear, as being most relevant for the MJO modulation of TCs. Also in CWS09, the first-position density field was used to represent TC genesis frequency with nine grid point smoothing, which makes it difficult to characterize detailed features of TC genesis over a small ocean basin such as the EPAC. Here we analyze the actual TC genesis location during ISV phases. Also, the new ERA-Interim reanalysis with improved quality and horizontal resolution is employed for the calculation of daily GPI patterns. Moreover, GPI anomalies associated with the ISV are also calculated based on HiRAM simulations, which may provide further indications of physics regulating TC genesis in the observations.

Figures 4a–d show evolution of anomalous GPI (shaded) along with TC genesis during ISV phases based on observations. Observed rainfall anomalies in each phase as displayed in Figs. 1a–d are duplicated with green contours. It is shown that positive (negative) GPI anomalies are generally collocated with enhanced (suppressed) convection. The majority of TC genesis occurs over positive anomalous GPI regions. Particularly noteworthy is the poleward shift of a positive GPI pattern relative to enhanced convection during phases 6 + 7 (Fig. 4d). The TC genesis during this period is well depicted by positive GPI anomalies rather than convection itself as discussed in Fig. 1. A small number of TCs are also observed over negative GPI regions, for example, during phases 4 + 5, which could be ascribed to factors that are not included in Eq. (1) or not associated with the 40-day ISV mode discussed here (e.g., quasi-biweekly ISV mode, or smaller scale convectively coupled waves and easterly waves).

The evolution of anomalous GPI patterns along with rainfall anomalies and TC genesis during different ISV phases in HiRAM simulations is displayed in Figs. 4e–h. While simulated GPI anomalies exhibit slightly weaker amplitudes than their observed counterparts, model TC genesis also largely occurs over regions with positive GPI anomalies as in the observations. Of particular interest is that the poleward displacement of positive GPI relative to maximum convection during phases 6 + 7 as previously noted in the observations is also clearly evident in HiRAM simulations (Fig. 4h). Also in agreement with the observations, positive GPI anomalies show better consistency with model TC genesis than convection anomalies.

Since the anomalous GPI patterns generally represent TC genesis during ISV evolution very well in both observations and simulations, we proceed to assess the relative roles of the four elements in Eq. (1) in contributing to total GPI anomalies. For clarification purposes, any variable $A$ can be decomposed into a climatological annual cycle component ($\bar{A}$) and a departure from climate component ($A'$), which contains variability on various time scales from synoptic, to intraseasonal, and to interannual time scales; that is, $A = \bar{A} + A'$, where $A$ represents each GPI component in Eq. (1): $\eta$, $r$, $\psi$, and $s$. Then the anomalous GPI associated with the ISV (GPI\textsubscript{ISV}) can be written as follows:

$$\text{GPI}_{\text{ISV}} = r\bar{\eta}s\bar{\psi} + \eta\bar{r}\bar{s}\bar{\psi} + \eta\tau\psi + \bar{r}\bar{\psi} + \cdots + \tau\psi + \cdots + \bar{r}\bar{s}\bar{\psi} + \cdots + (\eta\tau\psi + \cdots). \quad (2)$$

Similar to CWS09, the total GPI anomalies associated with the ISV can be decomposed into four linear terms which are associated with the ISV of each of the four variables while the other three terms are kept at their summer mean values [i.e., first four terms on the rhs of Eq. (2)]. Moreover, nonlinear effects can also be evaluated by terms which include high-order variances of two or more terms of the four variables [total 11 terms included within braces in Eq. (2)]. The results suggest that contributions by ISV potential intensity to the total GPI anomalies [i.e., $\eta\tau\psi$ in Eq. (2)] are relatively smaller than those from the ISV of the other three variables in both observations and HiRAM simulations (to be shown in Figs. 6 and 8). Figure 5 displays observed anomalous 850-hPa vorticity and winds, 600-hPa relative humidity, and $V_{\text{shear}}$ during different ISV phases along with total GPI anomalies (shaded, duplicated from Figs. 4a–d). It is shown that positive (negative) 850-hPa vorticity patterns associated with the anomalous winds induced by ISV convection are in good agreement with positive (negative) GPI anomalies during each ISV phase, suggesting the important role of low-level vorticity in regulating TC genesis. Meanwhile, enhanced midlevel moisture is also found to be conducive for active TC genesis over the coastal regions off Central America during ISV phases 1 + 8 and 2 + 3 (Figs. 5e,f). An east–west dipole in 600-hPa moisture anomalies is evident during phases 6 + 7 (Fig. 5h). While positive moisture anomalies to the west of 120°W tends to sustain local
positive GPI anomalies between 10°–15°N, negative anomalies to the east of 120°W counteract observed positive GPI anomalies. The maximum $V_{\text{shear}}$ anomalies over the far EPAC are largely confined south of 15°N. During phases 6–7, reduced vertical shear to the east of 120°W (Fig. 5i), largely due to weakening of low-level mean westerly flow by the northeasterly anomalous winds (Fig. 5d), contributes to locally positive GPI anomalies.

Figure 6 displays budget terms of the total anomalous GPI in Eq. (2) over three selected regions where active TC genesis is observed (see green boxes in Fig. 5). As mentioned above, the ISV of potential intensity plays a relatively minor role in contributing to total GPI anomalies over all three regions. Over the northern box region off the Central America coast (13°–18°N, 110°–95°W), positive GPI anomalies during ISV phases 1–2 are dominated by two major terms, for example, 850-hPa
vorticity and 600-hPa relative humidity. For the region 10°–15°N, 140°–120°W while both 850-hPa vorticity and 600-hPa moisture are again the two most important terms in contributing to maximum positive GPI anomalies during phases 6–7, nonlinear terms also make comparable contributions particularly in phase 6. For the third region 9°–13°N, 115°–95°W, in addition to the contribution by 850-hPa vorticity, the major contribution to the maximum positive GPI during phases 6–7 is by the reduced vertical wind shear; midlevel humidity associated with the ISV tends to reduce the total GPI anomalies in phase 6. Meanwhile, contributions from nonlinear terms are also noted over this region.

Further analyses illustrate that the nonlinear contributions to observed GPI anomalies associated with the 40-day ISV mode during phases 6–7 over the two box regions near 10°N (Figs. 6b,c) are largely due to covariance terms of 850-hPa vorticity and midlevel humidity anomalies, that is, \( \tilde{\psi}_s(\tilde{\eta}' \tilde{\eta}')^{105} \) in Eq. (2), on both synoptic (<8 days) and high-frequency ISV scales (8–20 days). While further studies are needed to explore physics responsible for this upscale contribution to the GPI anomalies associated with the 40-day ISV mode, this result is largely in concert with vigorous activity of easterly waves and the quasi-biweekly ISV mode observed over the far EPAC near 10°N during boreal summer (e.g., Serra et al. 2010; Jiang and Waliser 2009).

The above results suggest that the poleward shift of TC genesis relative to maximum ISV convection observed in ISV phases 6 + 7 (Fig. 1d) are largely due to

---

**Fig. 5.** (shaded) Composite total GPI anomalies during each ISV phase (see color bar at the bottom). (a)–(d) Vorticity (contours with an interval of 1.0 \( \times 10^{-6} \) s\(^{-1} \)) and winds [vectors; see scale in (a)], (e)–(h) 600-hPa relative humidity (contours, interval 1%), and (i)–(l) 200–850-hPa wind shear (contours, interval 0.5 m s\(^{-1} \)). Solid (dashed) contours denote positive (negative) values, zero contour omitted. All of these fields are based on the ERA-Interim reanalysis.
the presence of positive low-level vorticity, enhanced midlevel moisture, and reduced vertical wind shear to the north of convection (cf. Figs. 4d and 5d,h,l). The northward shift of positive vorticity relative to ISV convection could result from the coupling between ISV convection and summer mean monsoonal flow with an easterly vertical shear (Jiang et al. 2004; Jiang and Waliser 2008). As the maximum amplitude of easterly vertical wind shear over the EPAC is present near 8°N (Jiang and Waliser 2008), this may explain why the strongest northward displacement of GPI anomalies relative to the convection is observed during phases 6–7 when enhanced convection is largely confined south of 10°N (Fig. 4d). While a northward shift of lower-tropospheric specific humidity anomalies to ISV convection has been illustrated on a pressure–latitude plane in Jiang and Waliser (2008), further analysis is warranted for better understanding of the 3D anomalous relative humidity pattern associated with the EPAC ISV.

To further understand physics in regulating TC genesis in HiRAM, we proceed to examine roles of the four GPI factors for total simulated GPI anomalies. Figure 7 displays similar anomalous 850-hPa vorticity and winds, 600-hPa relative humidity, and $V_{\text{shear}}$ during different ISV phases along with total GPI anomalies as in Fig. 5 but based on HiRAM simulations. In general, similar evolutionary features in these anomalous fields associated with the ISV as in the observations are noted in simulations. Positive 850-hPa vorticity is in accord with positive GPI anomalies during the ISV evolution (Figs. 7a–d), again suggesting the importance of low-level vorticity in modulating TC genesis in HiRAM. Consistency between enhanced midlevel relative humidity and positive GPI anomalies over the coastal region off Central America during phases 1 + 8 and phases 2 + 3 (Figs. 7e–f) is also discerned in model simulations. Meanwhile, enhanced (reduced) vertical wind shear during ISV phases 1 + 8 and 2 + 3 (phases 4 + 5 and
6 + 7) over the far EPAC is also generally consistent with observations, though model deficiencies in anomalous humidity and wind shear patterns are also noticed. A positive moisture center during phases 6 + 7 is found near 8°N, 112°W in GCM (Fig. 7h); instead, the observed positive relative humidity center is located near 17°N, 135°W during this period (Fig. 5h). Since this positive 600-hPa relative humidity plays an active role in contributing the observed positive GPI over the western part of the EPAC along 10°N during phases 6 + 7 (see Figs. 5h and 6b), the model biases in simulating 600-hPa moisture anomalies may partially explain the less elongated positive GPI band during phases 6 + 7 in HiRAM, leaving the model TC genesis largely confined to the eastern part of the EPAC rather than more widespread along 10°N in the observations. Moreover, the amplitude of reduced vertical wind shear during phases 6 + 7 in HiRAM is much weaker than its observational counterpart (cf. Figs. 5l and 7l), which could lead to the weaker positive GPI anomalies during phases 6 + 7 in HiRAM than in the observations.

Figure 8 further displays budget terms of total GPI anomalies based on model simulations over two of the three rectangle regions previously shown for observations. (Owing to rather weak GPI anomalies over the region of 10°–15°N, 140°–120°W in HiRAM, model results are not displayed for this region.) It is shown that the role of potential intensity associated with the ISV for total simulated GPI anomalies is negligible throughout the life cycle of ISV over both of the two box regions. This could be largely ascribed to lack of the ISV in the SST fields in the GCM as monthly mean SSTs have been specified in these AMIP-type integrations. For the northern box region (13°–18°N, 110°–95°W), similarly as
in the observations, both low-level vorticity and mid-
level relative humidity play comparable roles for total
GPI anomalies in the GCM. For the southern region
along 10°N (9°–13°N, 115°–95°E), the predominant role
of reduced vertical shear for the total GPI anomalies
during phase 6 in the observations is not evident in
HiRAM (cf. Figs. 6c and 8b); instead, low-level vorticity
takes a leading role in contributing total GPI anomalies
in HiRAM, which again could be due to the aforemen-
tioned weaker amplitude of reduced vertical wind shear
during this period in the GCM. Moreover, simulated
total GPI anomalies in phase 7 are dominated by low-
level vorticity and midlevel specific humidity. The role
of nonlinear effects for total GPI anomalies during this
period as noted in the observations is not evident in
simulations. As previously discussed, the nonlinear effects
for the observed GPI anomalies along 10°N are closely
associated with synoptic-scale wave activity, which could
be rather challenging to be well resolved by a GCM at
50-km horizontal resolution. It remains an intriguing
topic for future study to see how the representation
of these multiscale interactive processes can be improved
in a GCM with increased horizontal resolutions.

5. Summary and discussion

In the present study, we illustrate that the observed
modulations of TC genesis over the EPAC by the ISV
can be faithfully represented in the GFDL HiRAM with
a horizontal resolution of ~50 km. This is a remarkable
achievement for a climate simulation model (i.e., one
that is not initialized from observations) to be capable of
depicting the ISV, TC activity, as well as the intimate
ISV–TC relationships. Considering the typical predict-
ability of 2–3 weeks for ISV, these results thus suggest
great potential for dynamical intraseasonal TC forecasts
based on HiRAM.

Further analysis indicates that anomalous GPI pat-
terns associated with the EPAC ISV can generally well
depict the modulation of TC genesis during different
phases of the ISV in both observations and model sim-
ulations. Further investigation based on observations is
performed to examine the key factors associated with
the ISV in modulating TC activity by examining the
budget terms of the total GPI anomalies during the ISV
life cycle. While CWS09 suggested that midlevel hu-
midity and low-level vorticity are the two most impor-
tant contributors to the MJO composite GPI anomalies
based on a similar analysis for global oceans, the present
study based on the EPAC suggests that roles of several
factors could be location as well as ISV phase depen-
dency. Both low-level vorticity and midlevel humidity
play major roles for observed active TC genesis over the
northern EPAC warm pool during ISV phases 1 + 8 and
to the west of 120°W between 10°–15°N during phases
6 + 7. Reduced vertical wind shear and the 850-hPa
vorticity are the two most important factors in modu-
lating TC genesis to the east of 120°W between 10°–15°N
during ISV phases 6 + 7. Nonlinear terms are also found
to play more active roles over the 10°–15°N zone.
While in general the observed anomalous patterns of low-level vorticity, midlevel relative humidity, and vertical wind shear associated with the EPAC ISV are well represented in HiRAM, model deficiencies are also noted in simulating the anomalous midlevel relative humidity patterns and amplitude of vertical wind shear associated with the EPAC ISV, particularly during ISV phases 6 + 7. These model biases could be responsible for the eastward shift of model TC genesis during this period.
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